

Digital Commons at St. Mary's University

Faculty Articles

School of Law Faculty Scholarship

1992

Codification of the "Special Forces Exception"

Jeffrey F. Addicott St. Mary's University School of Law, jaddicott@stmarytx.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.stmarytx.edu/facarticles



Part of the Military, War, and Peace Commons

Recommended Citation

Jeffrey F. Addicott, Codification of the "Special Forces Exception," Army Law. 36 (May 1992).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law Faculty Scholarship at Digital Commons at St. Mary's University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Articles by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons at St. Mary's University. For more information, please contact sfowler@stmarytx.edu, egoode@stmarytx.edu.

generally is not punishable as an offense under military law unless it occurs under such conditions of publicity or scandal as to enter that area of conduct given over to the police responsibility of the military establishment."79 The court found that the allegation of "wrongful intercourse" in the questioned specification failed to aver misconduct of sufficient notoriety to satisfy this standard. n removed in the comment of many kind workburn in the comment in

The court then examined the specification to see if it was sufficient to allege the offense of adultery. In doing so, it reiterated that one element of adultery is that "the accused or the other person was married to someone else."80 The court then stated that, "as an allegation of 'adultery,' [the specification] lack[ed] utterly the essence of the offense—that at least one of the parties [was] married to another person."81 Without this allegation, the court stated, "the essence of criminality was not even implied."82 Accordingly, it held that the specification was fatally defective.

The court distinguished three decisions that had appeared to ease the strict rules that govern military pleading.83 The court stated that "[a]lthough each of the specifications in [these] ... three cases was defective to some degree, all of them clearly alleged that the accused had committed a particular offense under the UCMJ, and the time, place, and nature of the offense were clearly implied in the language of the charge and specification."84 Because the specification in King was drawn under UCMJ article 134, neither the charge, nor the language of the questioned specification, was helpful in determining whether the Government properly stated an offense.

The Government easily could have avoided a reversal in King had the trial counsel taken more care to follow the form specifications set out in the Manual for Courts-Martial.85 As the Court of Military Appeals noted in United States v. n**Bryant,** no **Visit (**Gallos) នៃជា ប្រជាពលរដ្ឋានិក្សាស្រាល់ នេះប្រជាពលរដ្ឋានិក្សាស្រាល់ ក្រុមប្រជាពលរដ្ឋានិក្សាស្រាល់ ប្រជាពលរដ្ឋានិក្សាស្រាល់ នេះប្រជាពលរដ្ឋានិក្សាស្រាល់

it is beyond 99 Junderstanding that a 4.3.2 and 3 [prosecutor] would undertake to draw ! ... [a bought ancharge] without having before him [or her] are a tog the vision affective is first to expend the Clean of

Long the garage

zi vina so the statute which defines the offense, or, we call The base of having the statute before him for her, I could the have be so careless as to omit allegations meeting the statutory definition of one of the essential elements of the crime. \$6 1/100 DVI and be about the crime.

Major Hunter. numer.

chirol chirolest for two in himbolishing produces a strict was to the firm of on chose almest but the digitalization of the distribution of

For judge of covers, the combite any halo

ayla ba sasaddhupeth yeddidda allbaga e ylibadd

value consists and in Latence, bounds in Connecting these in a Codification of the "Special Forces Exception" રાત્વી હતો છે. તે માર્ગીએ ફિલ્મામાં મુક્તિનો સામે મુજબોરો જો પ્રાથમિક સ્ટ્રોન

For the past eight years, Army Special Forces units have conducted training and operations with friendly foreign forces outside the continental United States. The Army has obtained funding for these operations under what has been termed the "special forces exception"—a phrase coined from the language of a 1986 Comptroller General decision concerning Department of Defense (DOD) activities in Honduras.87 Although this 1986 General Accounting Office (GAO) opinion held that conventional United States forces may not use operation and maintenance appropriation funds during foreign exercises to provide more than basic familiarization and interoperability training to host nation forces, it specifically recognized that the unique mission of the Special Forces mandated an exception to this rule, The opinion stated. borg get to voll at our ascillances on entrailment

Training of indigenous military units is a fundamental role of the Special Forces; such training is provided as a means of utilizing indigenous forces as resources to achieve specific U.S. operational goals. To require that the host country utilize scarce security and hill t assistance funds for the limited training thereby imparted would be both impractical and unfair.88 samida e se perce, com an al ream

s. Salit (Carolina) di Ameri y Minimari sengih, sorielang tempang

ร ย คำ ภาค คำ คำ รับให้สารา เพื่อว่าที่สาก เวลท์ ยาขอ สามา เกษายาย ขอย้ ent in Minimistrat Comment of the Elevation and Albert Egilial

maseli e da tam e galesangas in diserentagnii e centri

TABLE DATED

Paral Steam and Markette

1994 and Alich at Department College at 1114 (CLM A. 1989)

The course diese exceeding only are appeared to be are grayorly allaged the offease of fernication. Formication

81 Id. at 97.

82*[d.*

83 See United States w. Bryant, 30 M.J. 72 (C.M.A. 1990) (holding that the omission of "wrongful" from specification for conspiracy to distribute controlled substances was not a fatal defect); United States v. Breechen, 27 M.J. 67 (C.M.A. 1988) (holding that the allegation of "wrongfulness" in connection with distribution of LSD was implicit in the specification as a whole); United States v. Watkins, 21 M.J. 208 (C.M.A. 1986) (holding that the omission of "without authority" from a specification of absence without leave was not fatal).

Comt. In

⁸⁴ King, 34 M.J. at 97.

85 See, e.g., Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, 1984, Part IV, para. 62f.

86 Bryant, 30 M.J. at 74.

87 See Ms. Comp. Gen. Dec. B-213137 (Jan. 30, 1986).

88 Id. at 26.

MAY 1992 THE ARMY LAWYER • DA PAM 27-50-234

Without this exception, a Special Forces unit could not fulfill a significant part of its mission—the training of indigenous forces. In recognizing the Special Forces exception, the GAO advised Congress to "consider clarifying the role of the Special Forces by specifically authorizing them to conduct (and use operational funds for) limited training of foreign forces during the course of field operations (actual or training exercises), for purposes of ensuring indigenous support of U.S. operations."89 The logal lab Toss , Today . Pricis, 242 Cat. April 610 (Ct. 19). 177

With the passage of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992-1993,90 Congress finally has codified the Special Forces exception.⁹¹ The new statute adopts the restrictive tone of the GAO opinion, providing expressly that the primary purpose of operations funded under the statute must be "to train the special operations forces of the combatant command. "92" Subject to this guiding principle, the commander of Special Operations Command and the commanders of any other unified or specified combatant commands may draw on the DOD's operation and maintenance funds to pay, or authorize payment for, any of the following dan berdi dak belgada ayrektelikta baye garegiri Di njeriy dak arres adt desembe kengel <mark>ot 1096</mark>7 as y expenses:

- (1) Expenses of training special operations forces assigned to that command in conjunction with training, and training with, armed forces and other security forces of a Fi JUDI friendly foreign country.
 - (2) Expenses of deploying such special operations forces for that training.
- (3) In the case of training in conjunction with a friendly developing country, the incremental expenses incurred by that country as the direct result of such training.93

The definition of "special operations forces" includes civil affairs forces and psychological operations forces.94 Detailed reporting requirements also are set out in the statute.

rithing face for the parent of the arthur in the set in

Now the first production of air administration

วามกลที่ 3 และ 1 450 **ธ**หนึ่ง โดย โดยสามารถ 1 หรือ<mark>ควร (1966) สิงคับ โพม</mark>กิจสุดย

Operational law judge advocates must study the language of this statute carefully and must brief commanders and other operators meticulously. For additional information, judge advocates should contact the Center for Law and Military Operations (CLAMO), International Law Division, The Judge Advocate General's School, Charlottesville, VA 22903-1781. .(Major Addicott. 1865) 4 h (1 h ii 1465 i 1 h 146 main 18 1 h ii 1

or set the error to bimorrane, 491 Su. 11 200 (548) Angle 1926) failte en alle en en la company de la company

The following notes have been prepared to advise legal assistance attorneys of current developments in the law and in legal assistance program policies. They also can be adapted for use as locally published preventive law articles to alert soldiers and their families about legal problems and changes in the law. We welcome articles and notes for inclusion in this portion of The Army Lawyer. Send submissions to The Judge Advocate General's School, ATTN: JAGS-ADA-LA, Charlottesville, VA 22903-1781.

a Languist in the Court Chamba (1985). In also care in the class ersta in a homina mac Family Law Note: 144 (198 ht 11 10) c Linera sensce else calques en Ta

े अवस्थित हो अनुबन्ध को उद्योग है। नह

selvasdro noitares ent-arce especial la carde resociale es-Loansmood State-by-State Analysis of the Divisibility. out much in addition of a committeery Retired Pay 95 terms in the Architecture

to raise this term with reviewers to the interpretation of 5th On 30 May 1989, the Supreme Court announced its decision in Mansell v. Mansell. 46 In Mansell, the Court ruled that states cannot divide the value of Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) disability benefits that are received in lieu of military retired pay.⁹⁷ It also suggested that, "under the . . . plain and precise language [of the Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act (USFSPA)], state courts have been granted the authority to treat disposable retirement pay as [divisible] community property; [but] they have not been retired pay as community property."98 Mansell overruled case law in a number of states—a fact that legal assistance attorneys should Exceptin mind when using the following materials.

bin of the comment of a booth trop bit shi perior entre

នាក់ពេលមហាជូន ១០០៥១ នៅនាំ មុខស្នាល១០០០០ខ្មែរប្រជាពលនៅ ១០១១ **ខេ**ងមាន នារាសារ

oracos a cogoleram erás en aroma en vira benima for constribida en e

the case had no of none for to reflect an exist and stoler,

To fine grant and the first of the first was a grant of the

2.W.24.369 (Nat., 1966) - Ancrepathechan verbalang 163.

garindakti territak galaktik (kaba) (alah 1984) an 1812 kebangan read to be a cored industry consensation and ending the cavity con-

BERT WITH BUT BUT FIRE AND AND A FOLD AND A SECOND

า เพลาะ กระกับ (การเลยาะ และ เมื่อสามารถ (การกำรวง) (การกำรวง) (การกำรวง)

and the fact of the first of the sign of t

TO THE TRANSPORTS OF THE PARTY

ABA

⁸⁹ld. at 27. gaspilateleta eta albim bali ilu neebad, kasel yaasa yad

⁹⁰ National Defense Authorization Act 1992-1993, Pub. L. No. 102-190, 105 Stat. 1290 (1991).

⁹¹ See id. § 1052(a), 105 Stat. at 1471 (codified at 10 U.S.C. § 2011).

⁹² See 10 U.S.C.A. § 2011(b) (West 1992). and a self protection

⁹³ Id. § 2011(a).

^{94/4. § 2011(}d)(1). 13 t.52 ... See Proping to border gashing

⁹⁵ This note updates TJAGSA Practice Note, State by State Analysis of the Divisibility of Military Retired Pay, The Army Lawyer, May 1991, at 48.

⁹⁷ Id. at 594.

⁹⁸ Id. at 589 (citing 10 U.S.C. § 1408(a)(4) (1988)).